

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

					•	
APPLICATION	NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/759,46	i4	01/19/2004	Christopher J. Mills	7784-000684	7599	
27572	27572 7590 11/19/2004				EXAMINER	
HARN P.O. BO	•	KEY & PIERCE,	ELDRED, JOHN W			
		ILLS, MI 48303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3644		
			DATE MAILED: 11/19/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

/1		Application No.	Applicant(s)	m			
		10/759,464	MILLS, CHRISTO	PHER J.			
, /	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		J. Woodrow Eldred	3644				
۔۔ Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communicate Reply	tion appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence ad	dress			
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA ions of time may be available under the provisions of 3' IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communice riod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) deserted for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, ply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, nation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6 by statute, cause the application to beco	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co time ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)□ f	Responsive to communication(s) filed c	on .	·				
·	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for		matters, prosecution as to the	merits is			
(closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositio	on of Claims						
5)⊠ (6)□ (7)⊠ (Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the app a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v Claim(s) 20-27 is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) 7, 8, 13 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration					
Applicatio	on Papers						
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.					
10)□ T	0) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
A	Applicant may not request that any objectio	n to the drawing(s) be held in at	peyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the high control in the court of the court o	·		• •			
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doce the priority doce the priority doce the priority doce the copies of the priority doce the attached detailed Office action for the attached detailed Office action for the priority doce the attached detailed Office action for the priority doce the attached detailed Office action for the priority doce the attached detailed Office action for the priority doce the attached detailed Office action for the priority doce the attached detailed Office action for the priority doce the prio	cuments have been received cuments have been received he priority documents have I Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	I. I in Application No been received in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice 3) Inform	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO- No(s)/Mail Date	-948) Pape	view Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date ce of Informal Patent Application (PTC er:)-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/759,464 Page 2

Art Unit: 3644

DETAILED ACTION

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 2, the limitation "consumes a single location ... that a passenger seat would otherwise occupy" is vague and indefinite. There is no antecedent basis for a "passenger seat" so it is not clear what type or size of seat is implied. It is not even clear how limiting "would otherwise occupy" since this has the form of a method or functional limitation, not a structural limitation.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-6, 9-12, and 14-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Canta et al (6,056,239).

See especially Figure 11 and the stairs providing access to the upper module.

5. Claims 7, 8, and 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3644

6. Claims 20-27 are allowed.

7. Applicant's arguments filed 8-30-04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's primary argument appears to be that Cantu et al fail to disclose the invention because the modules of Cantu et al are not located in "an overhead crown area of the mobile platform". Applicant argues that one of ordinary skill in the art would consider the crown area to be between the outer structure of the mobile platform and the ceiling of the mobile platform. However, there is no indication within the specification that this is the required definition of "crown area" and Webster's II New Riverside University Dictionary (Houghton Mifflin Company, copyright 1984, 1988) offers a definition of "crown" as "The highest point." Cantu et al clearly shows their privacy modules in an area at the "highest point" of the aircraft cabin, i.e. near the ceiling. It is entirely proper to apply this definition of the term in considering the scope of the claim language.

Cantu et al is believed to anticipate the structural limitations of claim 2, especially in view of the above 112 rejection. The stairway to an upper module occupies a small portion of a lower module, and it appears inherent that this stairway would only take up the space required by a single seat of the same size, or larger, than the size of the stairway. Also, the limitations of claim 4 is met by Cantu et al since the requirement that "each privacy module is physically associated with at least one specific seat in the passenger cabin" is met by the ladder of the upper module being physically connected to the seat module directly below a particular upper module.

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 3644

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to J. Woodrow Eldred whose telephone number is 703-306-4151. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday, from 8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teri Luu can be reached on 703-305-7421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

J. Woodrow Eldred Primary Examiner Art Unit 3644

JWE